Social Development Partnerships Program (SDPP-D) Stakeholder Discussion: Moving forward: 2015 and Beyond

The Social Development Partnerships Program, Disability Component (SDPP-D) supports projects intended to improve the participation and integration of people with disabilities in all aspects of Canadian society. More specifically, the Program supports not-for-profit organizations across Canada in tackling barriers faced by people with disabilities with respect to social inclusion. The objectives of the Program, which will remain the same, are to:

- support the development and utilization of effective approaches to address social issues and challenges;
- develop, exchange and apply knowledge, tools and resources that address the social needs of individuals, families and communities;
- foster partnerships and networks to address existing and emerging social issues;
- recognize and support the ability of not-for-profit organizations to identify and address social development priorities; and recognize and promote community engagement initiatives (e.g. volunteerism, corporate social responsibility, innovation by not-for-profit organizations, partnerships, coalitions).

Over the next two years, the Office for Disability Issues (ODI), which is responsible for SDPP-D, will re-orient program funding to be more open and to improve outcomes for persons with disabilities. The Office for Disability Issues is hosting a two-part consultation to seek your input with regard to the design of a potential competitive funding stream within SDPP-D for national disability organizations.

This short questionnaire is the first phase of the consultation. Its purpose is to gather facts and identify possible issues with regard to the design of this potential funding stream. The feedback gathered from this document will also help inform the second phase of this consultation by identifying potential themes for discussion.

For the second phase of this consultation, those who have submitted this questionnaire will be invited to participate in a series of teleconference calls that will take place in December 2013 and January 2014. We value your input and greatly appreciate your participation in both phases of this important consultation.

Please return your feedback by December 6, 2013 to Terri Champagne, Program Policy Advisor, SDPP-D at: Terri.Champagne@hrsdc-rhdcc.gc.ca.

Project scope

1.	of an consi affect Cana of pro	y project i der to hav t the coun dian proje ovinces/te	in which you le had a nati ltry as a who ects that inclu	r organiza onal impa le, or imp ude activit anada and	(e.g., goals/objectives, activities, outcomes) zation has been involved that that you pact. For example: projects that extend to or apact a significant area of the country; panvities that take place in a specified number and/or projects with a regional focus. Please		
Lever	aging	<u> </u>					
contrib	oute n nment	on-govern funds to o	ment financi	al resourc project. T	es to a	ility of an organization to be able to a project, and not rely solely on additional financial resources that an	
2	has b	een able t	to leverage <i>ir</i>	<i>cash</i> fror	n non	age of project costs your organization -governmental sources (excluding on) over the past three years?	
		Up to 10) %			30 to 40 %	
		40. 00					
		10 to 20	%			40 to 50 %	
		10 to 20 20 to 30				40 to 50 % Other:	
2.	orgar gove	20 to 30 lease indic nization ha rnmental s	ate the approas been able	to leverag	□ ercent e in <i>ir</i>		
2.	orgar gove	20 to 30 lease indic nization ha rnmental s	ate the appross been able sources (exclude) hree years?	to leverag	□ ercent e in <i>ir</i>	Other: tage of project costs your n-kind resources from non-	

	20 to 30 %		Other:			
leveraging fir	omes in a variety of forms and a nancial and in-kind resources, e ng other things.		s many objectives, including ding reach and impact and knowledge			
	om the list below, please select ization has been successful in c		n type of <i>financial</i> leveraging your ing over the past three years.			
	Secured funding from private	secto	r supporters			
	Received funds from provincial / regional / municipal government(s) funding					
	Pooled funds by combining the government funding bodies	e resc	ources of multiple			
	Built partnership arrangements (a partnership is an arrangement which parties agree to cooperate to advance their mutual interests					
	Money raised through fundrais	sing				
	Other, please specify:					
			n type of <i>non-financial leveraging</i> obtaining over the past three years.			
	Private sector organizations					
	Provincial / regional / local net	work	affiliates			
	Other national not-for-profit o not)	rgani	zations (disability focused or			
	Research-based institutions (enetworks)	.g., ι	iniversities or research			
	Public institutions working with universities, other levels of go	•	ple with disabilities (i.e. hospitals, nent (municipal))			
	Other, please specify:					

Partnerships

Partnerships are an integral part of the Social Development and Partnership Program – Disability Component. Partnerships come in a variety of forms and enable initiatives/organizations to expand their reach, capacity, impact and knowledge sharing among other things. To maximize the impact of the government's funding programs, collaboration and partnerships are encouraged.

4.	which securi	exploring the establishment of multi-stakeholder partnerships, with type of organization has your organization been most successful in ng partnerships (excluding provincial and regional affiliates) over the last years?
		Public institutions working with people with disabilities (i.e. hospitals, universities, other levels of government (municipal))
		Private sector organizations working at local, regional and national levels
		Foundations
		Not-for-profit organizations working to improve the lives of people with disabilities
		Other, please specify:
		Not applicable:
Fundin propos nationa require should	g prior sals. If al disal ements be the	rities are established by the Government of Canada for every call for two streams were established under SDPP-D, a national stream limited to polity organizations and another stream with broader eligibility and priorities for the two streams as same and/or different.
5.		on your organization's experience, please describe what the funding ies under the national funding stream should focus on:
	(max	250 words)

priorit	d on your organization's experience, please describe what the funding ties under an open competitive call for proposals (non-national stream) d focus on:
(max	x 250 words)
ernal re	view of proposals
PP-D is ex	exploring possible methods to assess applications under its new funding stress a peer review process.
	the list below, please select which types of groups would be best suited to in the proposal assessment process.
	Representatives from the academic community who have a breadth of experience and knowledge in the field of disability issues
	Representatives from organizations that promote and defend the rights of persons with disabilities
	Representatives from the private sector that are involved in disability issues
	Representatives from federal and provincial/territorial governments involved in disability issues
	Representatives from the disability community, i.e., citizens with disabilities
	Other, please specify:

8. Do you have any comments or is there anything else you would like to add?	,
(max 300 words)	

None of the above

Thank you for your feedback!